

Judge _____ Room _____ TEAM _____ v. TEAM _____

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF LAW
 2008 JUDSON FALKNOR APPELLATE ADVOCACY COMPETITION
ORAL ROUND BALLOT

Please do not evaluate the competitors based on the merits of the case. The team that demonstrates the superior oral advocacy skills should receive the greater overall number of points.

Please fill in the last name of each team member. Make sure the competitor's names correspond to the correct team number. Please give each team member 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest) points for each of the five basic judging criteria in the corresponding box under that competitor's name. Giving 10 points in a category indicates an exceptional performance, while giving 1 point should be reserved for an unusually poor performance.

The bailiff will collect the ballots after the round as soon as you finish scoring. They will take the ballots to the MCHB office for total tabulation. After the ballots have been submitted, we encourage the judges to give the competitors constructive skills-building oral feedback.

On behalf of the UW Moot Court Honor Board and the Falknor competitors, **thank you for judging!**

Petitioner/Team # _____

Respondent/Team # _____

Name:	Name:		Name:	Name:
		Organization—1-10 points per person <u>Suggested Criteria:</u> ·Outline at beginning ·Covers all important topics ·Shifts well from point to point ·Gives Summary and request for relief		
		Poise—1-10 points per person <u>Suggested Criteria:</u> ·Relaxed and polite demeanor ·Good verbal pacing ·Appropriate mannerisms at podium ·Good eye contact (no excessive reading)		
		Persuasive Ability—1-10 points per person <u>Suggested Criteria:</u> ·Convincing, irrespective of the merits ·Presents compelling factual story ·Good inflection and use of language ·Good use of policy where appropriate ·Respondent truly responds		
		Answering Questions—1-10 points per person <u>Suggested Criteria:</u> ·Listens to questions ·Distinguishes opposing authority ·Concedes points where appropriate ·Answers directly, segues to argument		
		Knowledge of Topic—1-10 points per person <u>Suggested Criteria:</u> ·Understands the problem ·Familiar with record ·Good knowledge and synthesis of authority ·Effective use of precedent		